

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,864	08/21/2003	Fang-Chen Cheng	29250-001062/US	6876	
75	90 11/13/2006		EXAM	INER '	
•	ICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.	ALPHONSE, FRITZ			
P.O. Box 8910 Reston, VA 20	0195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133		
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) CHENG ET AL. 10/644,864 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Fritz Alphonse 2133 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 August 2006. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

## 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers** 

5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

	9)[	] The s	pecification	is	object	ed to	bν	the	Examine
--	-----	---------	--------------	----	--------	-------	----	-----	---------

10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12	)∐ Ackno	wledgment is ma	ide of a claim fo	r foreign prior	ity under 35 U.	.S.C. § 1	≀19(a)-(d) or	(f)
	a)∏ All	b) ☐ Some * c)	None of:				•	

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Atta	chm	ent	S

1)	$\boxtimes$	Notice	of Re	ferences	Cited	(PTO-8	192)
----	-------------	--------	-------	----------	-------	--------	------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

0.1 This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 8/23/2006. Claims 1, 6, 13, 15-19, 21 are amended.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moulsley (US Pub. 2003/0100268) in view of Agee (Pub. No. U.S. 2004/0095907 A1).

As to claims 1 and 13, Moulsley (fig. 4) discloses a communication system comprising detecting a state of received ACK/NACK feedback information for associated sent data based on at least one threshold (page 2 [0027-0030]), Moulsley discloses a term representing an effect on data for one possible type of error in detecting a state of the received ACK/NACK feedback information (page 3 and [0030-0033]).

Moulsley differs from claim 1 in that he does not specifically teach an objective function including at least a first term representing an affect on data. However, the limitations are obvious and very well known in the art, as evidenced by Agee (paragraph [0380]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to combine Moulsley's communication system with the wireless multipoint electromagnetic communication networks, as disclosed by Agee. Doing so would provide an

Application/Control Number: 10/644,864

Art Unit: 2133

adaptive method which accounts for multipath interaction amongst the nodes and network, and minimizes unwanted effects while maximizing potential useful effects (paragraph [0158]).

As to claims 2-5, Moulsley (fig. 4) discloses a method, wherein the possible type of error is missed detection of a NACK and, wherein the term represents a cost of an average number of total bits to be retransmitted if a NACK is missed in detection (see abstract and [0027-0028]).

As to claims 6-7, Moulsley does not explicitly disclose a method, wherein the objective function includes a term representing an effect on data throughput if the state of the received ACK/NACK feedback information is correctly detected, and wherein the term includes a weight variable representing a cost of correct detection of the state of the received ACK/NACK feedback information.

However, the limitations are well known in the art, as evidenced by Agee (paragraph [0380]). See the motivation for the same reason disclosed in claim 1 above.

As to claims 8-10, Moulsley (fig. 4) discloses a method, wherein the term includes a throughput variable representing average data throughput; the value of the throughput variable is based on a probability that the ACK/NACK information is detected to represent an ACK and, wherein a value of the throughput variable is based on a probability that the ACK/NACK information is detected to represent a NACK (see abstract and [0007; 0027-0028]).

As top claims 11-12, Moulsley (fig. 4) discloses a method, wherein a value of the throughput variable is based on a probability that the ACK/NACK information is detected to represent an erasure (note the reset timer 406).

As to claims 14-17, Moulsley discloses a method, wherein the possible type of error is missed detection of a NACK; and, wherein the threshold is further derived based on an effect on

Application/Control Number: 10/644,864

Art Unit: 2133

data throughput if the state of the received ACK/NACK feedback information is correctly detected (see [0010]).

As to claims 18-19, method claims 18-19 correspond to apparatus claim 13; therefore, they are analyzed as previously discussed in claim 13 above.

As to claim 20, Moulsley (fig. 4) discloses a method, wherein the error type is missed detection of a NACK.

As to claim 21, Moulsley does not explicitly disclose a method, wherein the objective function further accounts for an affect on data throughput if the state of the received ACK/NACK feedback information is correctly detected.

However, the limitations are obvious and well known in the art, as evidenced by Agee (paragraph [0380]). See the motivation for the same reason disclosed in claim 1 above.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 10/644,864

Art Unit: 2133

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2133

November 7, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100